



COMMUNISM IS TREASON!

FIGHT IT WITH . . .

Common Sense

AMERICA'S NEWSPAPER AGAINST COMMUNISM

Copyright Registered 1948 United States Patent Office.

"The Truth, the whole Truth and nothing but the Truth!"

Without fear or favor,

Charles W. Charles
FOUNDER AND EDITOR

SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

Plain envelope, unsealed — \$1.

Plain envelope, sealed — \$3.

Foreign & Canada, (10 mos.) \$1.

Issue No. 417 (18th Year) Nov. 15, 1963

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

FIVE CENTS

Foreign Aid - Life Blood Of Communism

U.S. GOVERNMENT BANKRUPTING TAXPAYERS INTO SLAVERY

By Hilary Grey

At this time when the **Kennedy Administration** is again projecting its proboscis into other people's business and criticising Canada and other countries for lagging in "foreign aid" expenditures, it might be well to examine this question. **Hilary Grey** is a Royal Navy veteran who saw service in many theatres of World War II, including the Anzio landing. He has degrees at the Universities of Oxford and Manitoba, and has contributed to leading patriotic journals in Canada, the United States, Britain and France. —Ron Gostick

FINANCE THE REDS

Many Christian leaders have made statements in recent years in favour of the foreign aid programme. And much publicity has been given to the recent Encyclical of Pope John XXIII, *Mater et Magistra*, which emphasises the moral obligations of the wealthy or "have" nations to aid the needy or "have-not" nations. Liberal and ultra-Leftist writers everywhere have used the Pope's words, as well as those of other Christian leaders, to justify the current foreign aid programme of the United States, Canada, and other Western countries.

This review, while readily admitting that a Christian's first duty is to "love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy strength, and all thy mind; and thy neighbour as thyself," will prove that the present foreign aid of the West is communist in origin and designed to finance the emerging Communist World State.

ORIGIN CURRENT TYPE FOREIGN AID

Far from being an example of serving God and loving one's neighbour, our foreign aid policies help the communists rivet the shackles of a cruel slavery upon their long-suffering peoples. How can financing the cause of atheism and anti-Christ be an example of "serving God"? How can a means of fostering and/or maintaining in existence "the most dreadful evil of our times" (Pius XI's description of Communism in His Encyclical, *Caritate Christi*), be an example of loving one's neighbour?

Merely to ask these questions is to show the absurdity of the propaganda with which we are being brainwashed.

Foreign aid, as presently applied in the current programmes of the West, is in fact a direct violation of God's first and greatest Commandment.

And for the real author of current-type "foreign aid" we must look not to the Pope but to Joe Stalin, whose work *Marxism and the National Colonial Question* says:

"It is essential that the advanced countries should render aid—real and prolonged aid—to the backward nationalities in their cultural and economic development. Otherwise it will be impossible to bring about the peaceful co-

Arrest That Man!



existence of the various nations and peoples that is so essential for the final triumph of Socialism."

In his work, *Leninism*, Stalin also referred very significantly to "the combination of the Russian revolutionary sweep with American efficiency" as being "the essence of Leninism in Party and State work." (Page 85, Lawrence & Wishart, London, 1940, authorised translation).

That far-seeing chairman of the US Congress Banking and Currency Committee, Mr. Louis McFadden, seemed to realize that some sort of liaison of this nature existed between the international financiers of the United States and the international communists of the USSR, for he said on the advent of the New Deal on the 10th of June, 1932:

"Russia was designed to supply the man power and this country (the US) was to provide the financial power to an inter-national super-state—a super-state controlled by international bankers and international industrialists, acting together to enslave the world for their own pleasure."

The New Frontier is the logical extension and perhaps culmination of the New Deal. Remember President Kennedy's significant remark:

"We are here to finish Roosevelt's work."

UN WORLD GOVERNMENT

The world super-state foreseen by McFadden is now on top of us. It is United Nations World Government on a population basis, and to which President Kennedy and all members of the New Frontier are deeply committed. Even before his election, Kennedy was an ardent supporter of the United World Federalists.

Because the communists and their Afro-Asian allies now have a majority of the world's population, UN World Government

would be Red-controlled, but financed by the West. No wonder that an analysis of 178 of the top American leaders of the World Government movement in 1949 revealed that they belonged to no less than 1,696 officially-cited communist fronts!

According to a March 31, 1962, UPI report from Geneva, the US was ready to submit to the Geneva Disarmament Conference a plan calling for elimination of national armies within nine years and their replacement by a UN force. The US plan was submitted to the 17-nation group to counter a Soviet draft treaty for general and complete disarmament within 4 years. Full details of this plan were issued at the end of 1961 by the US Government in State Department Publication 7277 entitled *Freedom From War*, available from US Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C., for 15¢.

Dr. Robert Morris, President of the University of Dallas and formerly chief counsel for the US Senate Internal Security Subcommittee, writing in *The Wanderer*, Aug. 9, 1962, said of this plan:

"We are pleading with the communists to accept our 'disarmament plan' which calls for the dissolution of our magnificent military establishment in three stages and the transfer of all military power to a 'UN Peace Force.' We are making concession after concession to have them accept our proposals. "This is not a disarmament plan at all. It is a plan for merger with our enemies. It is the creation of a world army possessing all the weapons in the world in which our influence would be an insignificant minority. In this merger the entire West World would be a shrinking minority. Left-leaning 'neutrals' such as Sukarno, Krishna Menon and Souvanna Phouma and the growing Soviet bloc would rule this army and we would be subject to this combine. . . With their rule, free enterprise, our religious and common-law heritage, our Constitution, our Bill of Rights, would be diluted beyond recognition."

Referring to this diabolical plan of Kennedy, D. H. Connors wrote:

"In plain words, the United Nations will have the only big gun left in the world. And holding the trigger will be the head of the UN Peace Force who has always been, and is now, a communist."

—The Green Bay Register, May 18, 1962

If further proof of the communist nature of UN World Government be needed, consider the UN office which controls all UN military forces—we quote from the Oct. 25, 1962, issue of *The Wanderer*:

"As we observe UN Day on October 24th, Americans should realize that the seventh consecutive communist has recently been appointed to the No. 2 position in the United Nations: the office of Secretary of Political and Security Council Affairs. Eugene Kiselev, the

—o— Please turn to page 2 —o—

Foreign Aid

—o— Continued from page 1 —o—

present communist in this position, succeeds Arkady Sobelov, Constantin E. Zinchenko, Ilya S. Tchernychev, Dragoslav Protitch, Anatoly Dobrynin, and Georgy Petrovitch Arkadev. This office has control over all UN military, political, nuclear, disarmament and other vital questions. This arrangement, under which a communist has always held the second most important UN position, is the result of a secret agreement made between Molotov and Secretary of State Stettinius (Alger Hiss was one of his advisers) in London in 1945, and which was revealed in the book written by the second UN Secretary General, Trygve Lie, 'In The Cause Of Peace,' page 45 and 46."

No wonder such a respected figure as ex-President, Herbert Hoover, said last Aug. as reported in *The Wanderer*, Aug. 19:

"We must realize," said Hoover, "that the United Nations has failed to give us even a remote hope of lasting peace. Instead, it adds to the dangers of wars which now surround us."

The communist countries, he said, "have destroyed the usefulness of the United Nations to preserve peace."

"The time is here when, if the free nations are to survive, they must have a new and stronger worldwide organization," Hoover said.

"For purposes of this discussion I may call it the Council of Free Nations. It should include only those who are willing to stand up and fight for their freedom."

And in an apparent reference to the godlessness of the United Nations, Pope John XXIII himself said in *Mater et Magistra*:

"No folly is more characteristic of the modern era than the absurd attempt to reconstruct a solid and prosperous temporal order while prescind from God, the only foundation on which it can endure."

"It is equally foolish to seek to exalt man's greatness by drying up the font from which his greatness springs and from which it is nourished; that is, by restraining and, if possible, checking his quest for God."


"FOREIGN AID" SINCE THE NEW DEAL

Winston Churchill said in London at an American Independence Day Dinner in July, 1950:

"Had it not been for Britain and America, Hitler would have destroyed communism, or, at least driven it back to the Ural Mountains."

The United States and the British Commonwealth destroyed the anti-communist powers of Europe and Asia, thereby creating a vacuum which Soviet Russia was only too pleased to fill. But it wasn't Britain that sparked off the war to "save small countries." Let's hear what the British Prime Minister, Neville Chamberlain, said to the American Ambassador to Britain, Joseph P. Kennedy, regarding the outbreak of the War. The quotation is from *The Forrestal Diaries*, Viking Press, New York, 1951. (Forrestal was the wartime Secretary of the Navy.)

"I played golf today with Joe Kennedy. I asked him about his conversations with Roosevelt and Neville Chamberlain from 1938 on. He said Chamberlain's position in 1938 was that England had nothing with which to fight and that she could not risk going to war with Hitler. Kennedy's view: That Hitler would have fought Russia without any later conflict with England if it had not been for Bullitt's (Wm. C. Bullitt, Ambassador to France) urging on Roosevelt in the summer of 1939 that the Germans must be faced down about Poland; neither the French nor the British would have made Poland a cause of war if it had



Felix Frankfurter

Washington reporter Walter Trohan reported that Felix Frankfurter, former Senator Herbert Lehman and Henry Morgenthau, Jr. formed the Invisible Government of the United States.

not been for the constant needling from Washington. Bullitt, he said, kept telling Roosevelt that the Germans wouldn't fight, Kennedy that they would, and that they would overrun Europe. Chamberlain, he said, stated that America and the world Jews had forced England into the war."

Though Soviet Russia had invaded five small countries in 1939 to Germany's two, and though Russia's invasion of Poland was practically simultaneous with Hitler's, it was against anti-communist Germany, not the USSR, that the New Deal instigated war.

THE NEW DEAL GAVE SOVIET RUSSIA

—\$11.2 billion in direct aid in World War II. Then in May, 1944, came another form of foreign aid—the loan by the Treasury of the American dollar printing plates direct to the Soviet Union. This enabled the USSR to print millions of American dollars—redeemable by the American taxpayer.

Henry Morgenthau, Jr., was in charge of the Treasury at the time, but he shifted the blame onto Harry Dexter White (Weiss), his Assistant, who committed "suicide" on being exposed as a communist spy a few years later. President Truman showed his friendship for communism by appointing White Assistant Secretary of the US Treasury in 1945 and US Director of the International Monetary Fund in 1946, though he had been warned by the FBI about White's communist espionage.

Richard L. Stokes, in an article published in *Reader's Digest* of March, 1953, said:

"Before the operation could be halted, after 18 months, it had cost American taxpayers, at a minimum estimate, 250 million dollars . . ."

"Even more diverting was the prospect of the millions it would require in American taxes to extricate West Germany from ruin—a ruin artfully advanced by a deluge of the Russians' currency made feasible by the U.S. Treasury. What a jolly way of helping on the classic Bolshevik prophecy that communism would force America to spend itself into bankruptcy!"

IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE WAR

—the Government of the USA helped stabilize the communist governments of Eastern Europe, as well as financing the Jewish mass emigration from the Soviet Union to Israel, through the United Nations Relief & Rehabilitation Administration, better known as UNRRA. According to the well-known American commentator, Fulton Lewis,

Jr., in his column of Oct. 9, 1951, at least one billion American dollars went to bolster up Bolshevism through UNRRA. And at the instigation of the Wall Street international financier, Ex-Senator/Governor Herbert H. Lehman (NY), even the salaries of Soviet officials attached to UNRRA were paid by the US. In May, 1950, the eminent Washington reporter, Walter Trohan, reported that Senator Lehman, Henry Morgenthau, Jr., and Felix Frankfurter of the Supreme Court formed the invisible government of the United States.

This UNRRA aid, paid for by the American taxpayers, was at least in one communist country (Yugo-Slavia), to the writer's certain knowledge, represented as coming from "UNRRA-GRAD in the Soviet Union."

STALIN'S ORDERS FOR "FOREIGN AID"

—were taken up by the (then) head of the US Communist Party, Earl Browder, who wrote in his 1944 work, *Teheran, Our Path In War And Peace*, that America should underwrite the industrialization of Africa, the Middle East and the Far East in partnership with other governments. Mr. Henry Wallace, the pro-communist who was for a time Vice-President of the United States, then took up the Browder-Stalin theme and pushed "foreign aid" for all he was worth. Wallace was quoted in the *London Times* of July 17, 1950:

"No real solution of the Asian problem would be found short of a conference between President Truman and Mr. Stalin to work out a 'real' Point Four Programme to aid backward nations in Asia and elsewhere."

Wallace, who had been Vice-President in the Roosevelt regime, ran for the Presidency in 1948 as candidate of the communist-promoted "Progressive Party."

MARSHALL PLAN AND "POINT FOUR"

Our hidden persuaders are not fools, and they realized that the term *Stalin Plan* or *Browder Plan* would not go over too well with the American taxpayer. So they called foreign aid after a general—General Marshall. Through *Marshall Aid*, millions of US dollars were poured out to leftist-dominated countries, and from these much reached the Soviet Union and her satellites. For lending his name to "foreign aid," Marshall was given the Nobel Peace Prize in 1953. (For a well documented exposure of the role played by Nobel Prizes in the International Communist Conspiracy, see the *American Mercury* magazine, January, 1961.)

Marshall also showed his devotion to leftist causes—or his incredible gullibility—when he prepared the ground for the communist victory in China by disarming the forces of General Chiang Kai-shek for two years while the Reds got arms from the USSR. Yet we are told the Marshall Plan saved the world from communism!

Then in January, 1949, President Truman came out with his notorious Point Four programme which aimed to invest American dollars through the UN in the "under-developed areas of the world," including those in the Soviet bloc.

POSITION TODAY

Today foreign aid is coming more and more out into the open and toeing the Stalin-Browder line. The well known economist, Henry Hazlitt, wrote in the May 9, 1960 issue of *Newsweek*:

"Ever since the advent of the New Deal, socialist assumptions have tended increasingly to supplant capitalist assumptions in our thinking. Nowhere has this change been quite as marked as in foreign economic policy. The whole foreign-aid program, outside of purely military aid, rests on socialistic assumptions . . . Today we are actually making state 'planning' or socialism a condition for the receipt of our foreign aid."

Confirmation of this is found in the following column by D. H. Connors which appeared in the *Green Bay Register*, Feb. 16, 1962:

"Let us consider only the money that has gone to our 'allies' against communism. What has been done with this vast sum? Why, the governments of these countries, with millions of our dollars at their disposal, bought up as much of the industry of their countries as they could. On the excuse of economic expansion, they have bought the means of production away from the people and are busy socializing the countries. The communists, you may remember, consider themselves Socialists, as indeed they are. "Nationalization of industry and State Capitalism have been fostered in countries receiving our funds. Many privately owned businesses have been taken over. A great many of the governments receiving our aid have been encouraged by the U.S. Government to move into industrial operations with programs similar to the Soviet seven-year plan.

"We are helping to establish the same system of State slavery we are pretending to combat. And Christians in America are paying dearly, to the detriment of their own charities.

"Over and over again the Popes have reaffirmed the right of individuals to own property and their right to inheritance. Can we pretend to stop the flow of communism while at the same time we support philosophies that are essentially the same thing, minus only the blood baths?"

AID IS INCREASINGLY BEING GIVEN

—to communist bloc countries and to pro-Soviet states such as India, and to the so-called "nationalist" states of the Afro-Asian bloc which invariably support the Soviet line.

Poland has received \$600,000,000 from the US taxpayers. Yugo-Slavia has received over \$2,000,000,000, and her airmen are being trained in the US though Tito has several times said that in a war he would side with Moscow. Even the USSR itself has received 419,000,000 US dollars.

YET, aid is being cut off from anti-communist countries. Indeed, heroic Katanga was subjugated by UN forces equipped and financed by Washington.

Several times in 1962 the Kennedy Government cut off Laos' monthly subsidy of three to four million dollars until its pro-West regime entered into a suicidal coalition with the communists.

The President of Pakistan, Ayub Khan, complained on his recent visit to the US that neutralist and anti-American states in Asia got far more support—and dollars—from the US than did her friends.

Similar complaints have been made by other respected Asian leaders such as President Macapagal of the Philippines, the President of the UN General Assembly Sir Zafulla Khan, and Madame Nhu.

Statesmen in the Afro-Asian bloc who are anti-communist and friendly to the West get, at best, a disparaging, cynical press in the West or else the "silent treatment." More often than not, they get a downright hostile treatment. Such persons include General Chiang Kai-shek (Free China), Syngman Rhee and General Park Chung Hee (South Korea), Ayub Khan (Pakistan), Alexander Bustamante (Jamaica), Forbes Burnham (British Guiana), Moise Tshombe (Katanga), President Macapagal (Philippines), Sir Oliver Goonetilleke (Ceylon), General Nosavan (Laos), President Ngo Dinh Diem (South Viet Nam), Madame Ngo Dinh Nhu (South Viet Nam), King Hussein (Jordan), and many others.

These leaders are not mere isolated individuals. They command mass support in their own countries and in some cases their fame and prestige extend far beyond their borders. The revolutionary struggle of Syngman Rhee for a free Korea, for instance, has extended for half a century.

The attempts of General Park Chung Hee to purge his country of vice, decadence and luxury are an object lesson to all of us in



Henry Morgenthau, Jr.
Fired by Truman after World War II, Morgenthau cooled his heels in France for a time and is now residing incognito at his Fishkill, N. Y. farm.

the West; yet, the General's reforms were repeatedly sabotaged by Washington.

The fame of heroic Madame Nhu, her dauntless courage and her fight for a pure and decent South Viet Nam have now reached the corners of the earth. Many people revere her as Asia's Joan of Arc. Incidentally, she is a Christian, as are Syngman Rhee, Chiang Kai-shek, Bustamante, Forbes Burnham, Tshombe, and Macapagal.

Not only are such figures genuine anti-communists, but without exception they are sincerely interested in the material welfare of their people. The simplest proof that communism does not improve the lot of its people is the constant stream of refugees who have been passing from East to West since the Iron Curtain fell in 1945. Not a month passes without thousands crossing the Curtain. Even in Korea two out of every three Chinese Red Army prisoners preferred to join Chiang Kai-shek in Formosa than to return to China now that it had become a "workers' paradise." Cuba, another allegedly backward country, has seen more than 200 thousand of her sons and daughters flee their homeland since Castro seized power.

Most of the handful of persons passing from West to East in recent years have been "upper-class" British homo-sexuals such as Burgess and Maclean, American homo-sexuals such as Mitchell and Martin of the National Security Agency, and millionaire stock-broker such as Alfred Stern. The refugees from the West to the "workers' paradise" have numbered only a few dozen since 1945, and have invariably been rich "intellectuals" or homo-sexuals.

Yet, the Western press has contrived to give us the impression that the only real leaders of the Afro-Asian bloc are garbage such as Nehru, Jagan, Nkrumah, Adoula, Mboya, Ben Bella, Kenyatta, Sukarno, etc., and that they must be constantly appeased with lavish dollar handouts to prevent them from "going communist." As if, for all practical purposes, these "leaders" weren't communist or Red-pawns already! Sukarno alone has received \$700,000,000 from the American taxpayer, while Jagan has been promised \$200,000,000.

In this respect, Britain is just as bad as the United States. When the heroic Negro, Forbes Burnham, staged a successful uprising against the Indian communist, Cheddi Jagan, in February of last year, Britain flew troops 4,000 miles to British Guiana to suppress Burnham and restore dictator Jagan. Prince Phillip, too, just happened to fly in at that time to ostentatiously shake Jagan's hand. Yet, Forbes Burnham, a Negro, is more a native to British Guiana than the East-Indian Jagan and his wife (Janet Rosenberg of Chicago).

AID DIRECT TO RED REGIMES

The first plea for outright direct aid to Red regimes was made by Senator John F.

Kennedy! Probably for this reason, the Establishment which controls the US decided that Mr. Kennedy would make a wonderful front. In view of strong Catholic condemnation of communism, Mr. Kennedy's much publicized religious affiliation would make admirable cover. As Time magazine of July 11, 1960, put it:

"Since the Roman Catholic Church is militantly anti-communist, Kennedy feels that his Catholicism makes him pretty much immune to any suspicion of 'softness' towards communism."

Kennedy sneaked into Montreal in December, 1953, and before the English Literature Club of Montreal University pleaded for aid and an alliance with communist Yugo-Slavia. (See full report in the Montreal Gazette of Dec. 5, 1953.) Tito had jailed Cardinal Stepinac in 1946 for 16 years, but in Kennedy's plea for aid to Yugo-Slavia he stated frankly that "he doesn't think of foreign policy as a moral issue."

Then in April, 1957, Mr. Kennedy came out strongly in favour of aid to Poland to stabilize her Red regime which had been severely shaken by the rioting of the previous year.

Promoting this "foreign aid" policy relentlessly his speech in the US Senate on March 25, 1958, contained the following very significant words:

"No greater challenge exists in the future than the peaceful organization of a world society which includes not only the wealthy industrial states of America, Western Europe, and Russia, but also powerful new industrial states in Asia, Latin America, Africa, and the Middle East."

These words bear a most striking resemblance to those by Earl Browder in his work, *Teheran, Our Path In War And Peace*.

Senator William F. Knowland, then leader of the Republican Party in the US Senate, said in August, 1957, of one of the Kennedy aid-to-communism programs:

"It seems to me that the Senator is now asking the American people to undertake a whole economic plan of bolstering the Communist World."

IT IS NO SECRET THAT MIKOYAN

—in January, 1959, and Kozlov in July 1959, came to the US to solicit more funds for the always shaky economy of the Soviet Union. We need hardly labour the point that all financial aid to communist countries goes to strengthen and stabilize their regimes and tiny elite. It does not reach the captive peoples.

The Brooklyn Tablet, in its June 14, 1958 edition, put the whole issue rather well in an article exposing and severely criticizing Senator Kennedy, under its main caption:

CAPTIVE PEOPLE WANT FREEDOM, NOT FINANCIAL HELP FOR DICTATORS.

THE UN AND "FOREIGN AID"

A most sinister trend—that of channeling more and more American foreign aid through the UN—has developed in the last five years. Thus, when it reaches the other end no possible benefit to the United States can be derived. This policy seems to have originated with the influential Dr. Hugh Schonfield, President of the Crusade for World Government. The World Federalist of November 1957 quoted Dr. Schonfield's address at the 10th Anniversary Congress of the World Association of World Federalists at The Hague, Holland. Following is a significant excerpt:

"We are past colonial imperialism, but now with increased aid to underdeveloped areas 'fear of influence' is increasing. Special agencies of the UN under a world government should be charged with the task. Movements to give technical and economic aid outside the UN must be destroyed if we are to establish a proper position for mutual aid."

Foreign Aid

—o— Continued from page 3 —o—

Senator Kennedy was quick to jump to the orders of Schonfield and the World Federalists. On March 25, 1958, in a resolution submitted jointly with Senator John Sherman Cooper designed to save India's second Five-Year Plan, Kennedy urged that an O.E.E.C. subcommittee—consisting of the leftists John McCloy of the US, Sir Oliver Franks of Great Britain, Prof. Tinbergen of Holland, Albert Kervin of Belgium or Erland Waldenstorn of Sweden—visit India to find out exactly how many billions of American aid India wanted, and then to administer such aid through "an international consortium." Kennedy affirmed that "such a committee could furnish a model for other later efforts."

Here, as long ago as 1958, we see the current Kennedy line. The grant (to India) was to be an American one; but the estimate was to be made by a group of predominantly foreign leftists, and the aid tagged "UN-International" and administered by a non-American "consortium." About all the Americans were to get under this Kennedy plan was the tax-bill, without even a thank-you because the generosity of the donor must not be recognized.

UN AND COMMUNIST ESPIONAGE

It is becoming very clear that the UN is part and parcel of the World Conspiracy. The communist countries and their allies among the so-called "neutrals" of the Afro-Asian bloc now command a voting majority among the 110 or so countries of the UN. This is not a haphazard evolution, but a piece of deliberate planning. As Roosevelt said, "Things don't just happen; they get planned that way." Lenin himself wrote in *Better Fewer, But Better*, March, 1923:

"In the last analysis, the upshot of the struggle will be determined by the fact that Russia, India, China, etc., account for the overwhelming majority of the population of the globe."

J. Edgar Hoover, FBI Chief, recently pointed out that Soviet representations to the United Nations are nests of extensive espionage and that 70 percent of Soviet officials are carrying out most undiplomatic spying activities against the USA.

Moreover, of the American citizens who are members of the UN Staff at least 85 percent are communists. Yet, fantastic as it seems, it is the United States that keeps the UN going—not the USSR, which is behind in its UN payments! Indeed, many communist countries do not bother to pay their UN dues, knowing that the USA will keep the UN going!

Speaking in the US Senate on Oct. 3, 1962, Democratic Senator Thomas J. Dodd (Conn.) said:

"The incredible scale of this operation leads us to the frightening conclusion, Mr. President, that every Soviet representative to the United Nations and every Soviet employee of the United Nations' various agencies must be considered a spy or potential spy. They are spies, moreover, who operate with a minimum of risk because their quasi-diplomatic status makes it impossible to do more to them, if they are caught, than demand their recall. This situation is bad enough. But, according to an item in the *Baltimore Sun*, the US is now being called upon to foot the lion's share of the bill for training prospective Soviet employees of the United Nations—that is, for training prospective Soviet spies against the United States. According to this item, Secretary General U Thant has asked the General Assembly for funds to maintain a school which has already started in Moscow for the purpose of training twenty-two Russians each year for jobs at UN headquarters. The sum involved is \$201,200, of which the United States would pay 32.2 percent, or \$64,424, while the Soviet Union

would pay only 17.47 percent, or \$35,139. This is adding indignity to injury, with a vengeance."

THE UN BOND ISSUE

Senator, Karl Mundt, in a speech reported from Washington, Sept. 6, 1962, showed how the notorious UN bond issue to which the US contributed \$215 million last August, and Canada some \$6 million, was used to pay the dues of several communist countries, including Cuba, to enable them to continue voting against the US! The following quotation from his speech appeared in *The Wanderer*, Sept. 13, 1962:

"A good many Senators with whom I have talked are greatly disturbed over information recently revealed by the House Foreign Affairs Committee concerning the UN bond issue. The Presidential request for \$100 million to purchase bonds to help the United Nations out of fiscal difficulties was debated and passed by the Senate. Many objected—and I was one—to the bill on various grounds, including the point it delegated authority of Congress to the President. 'However, before the House had an opportunity to consider whether this proposal would usurp authority of Congress, the Administration went ahead and did almost exactly that. It dipped into contingency foreign aid funds and turned over to the United Nations some \$215 million, more than twice the amount requested for the bond issue! The U.S.A., astounded House committee members learned, had voluntarily given the United Nations this money by which the United Nations was then able to reduce debts owed to the world organization by 78 countries!

"Through this 'generous' act of Administration officials using American tax dollars, debts were reduced for five communist countries in the amount of \$140,000 for Cuba, \$243,200 for Yugoslavia, \$512,000 for Poland, \$25,600 for Albania, and \$128,000 for Bulgaria. Another \$812,000 reduced 'neutralist' India's debt.

"This action was a deliberate affront not only to Congress but to the American people who foot the bill. It enabled these communist countries—and India—to pay their UN commitments sufficiently to retain their voting rights. As you all know on virtually all important votes these countries vote AGAINST the U.S.A. Which explains why foreign aid not only is in trouble but why it isn't working either. The Secretary of State recently said our objective against communism is 'to win.' Unfortunately, with the type of policy outlined here, the Secretary's chief weapon with which 'to win' appears to be a gun with a curved barrel."

THE UN INTERNATIONAL CHILDREN'S EMERGENCY FUND (UNICEF)

Between 1947 and 1958, \$59 million of money collected for UNICEF was sent to the communist countries. Some \$42 million of this came from the USA. In May, 1961, the US Government transmitted to the UN Secretary-General, in advance of the usual date of transfer to UNICEF, the sum of \$10 million out of the \$12 million US pledge to UNICEF for 1961. This money was used to finance the UN "Peace" Force operations against Christian Katanga, killing women and children, bombing hospitals, churches and schools in an effort to force Katanga to submit to the pro-Red "Central Government" of Adoula.

Mrs. Kennedy makes a habit of using UNICEF "Christmas" cards, which are completely devoid of any Christian symbolism.

IS THERE AN ALTERNATIVE?

Is there any alternative to our present "foreign aid" policy? Certainly there is—a realistic policy based firmly upon Christian charity and the requirements of our national security. It is only realistic to insist that all future "aid" be examined closely, satisfying the following questions:

1. Is it going to contribute to the well-being, freedom and justice for those who live under the regime to which the aid is given? or is it primarily going to strengthen a regime which is oppressing or enslaving its people?
2. Is it likely to be used by the recipient to strengthen or foster a God-centred society in harmony with Christian values? or is it likely to be used to promote a society pursuing policies inimical to the ideals and principles which gave birth to this nation and which our fathers and sons with their lives have defended?
3. Is it going to help and strengthen a friend of freedom? or an enemy?
4. Is it going to contribute to the security of this nation?

These are nothing more than common-sense principles which any reasonable person would apply in conducting his business or bestowing his wealth. Their application, it might be contended, would drastically shrink our present "foreign aid" expenditures. And, indeed, this would be the case.

The money thus saved might be used by the people to support the charities and aid projects of their choice, or by the government to give or increase aid to those leaders and peoples who need our help in the fight for freedom. Such help to those who are faithfully trying to do God's will on earth—we named a few such leaders earlier in this article—would not only bring relief to the needy, but strength to our friends and our own national security.

This would indeed be "foreign aid" worthy of a Christian nation.

Write your Senators and Congressmen protesting this unnecessary waste of taxpayers money through foreign aid. Such protests are getting results.

Stop all foreign aid waste. Spend taxpayers money only in America where it belongs.

How You Can Help

Subscribe to *Common Sense* for yourself, family and friends.

Renew your subscription when it is due and save time and postage of sending a notice.

Make a subscription drive in your neighborhood for *Common Sense*.

Contribute money regularly if you are financially able.

Postage stamps always appreciated.

Be on the look-out for people in a position to help us financially.

Distribute *Common Sense* in your community.

Read patriotic books, literature, etc. and pass on.

Write your Senators and Congressmen regularly. Stop this Marxist Socialist Communist march.

Don't lose your will to resist.

PRAY and FIGHT.

COMMON SENSE
Box 807,
Union, N. J.

Common Sense*

Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.
Conde McGinley, Editor

One year's subscription, \$1; Three years for \$2.50; First Class (Sealed) — \$3.00 per year. Foreign, 10 months, \$1.00

In BULK, same issue or assorted

25 Copies — \$1.00

100 Copies — 3.00

500 Copies — 10.00

Common Sense*

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by Christian Educational Assn., 530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J.

*Trade Mark Registered U.S. Patent Office